









IS YOUR **COMPANY** AFFECTED BY GEOPOLITICAL CIRCUMSTANCES? DO YOU NEED TO ENSURE GXP **PROJECTS' CONTINUITY?**

When on-site visits are not practical or hindered a front-end I back-end organization becomes effective in terms of risks and benefit.

Two teams working in parallel

- 1 Front end: small task force of local consultants on site. Focus on data collection and testing activities
- (2)—Back end: big teams of international consultants, experts and SMEs. Focus on documents creation, review and compiling

SERVICE FEATURES:



High tech support with live streaming From Consultants & Auditors



Night shift with remote support from countries on different time zones



Multi countries organization with local presences worldwide



Front/Back end planning of



Remote control option



Cost effectiveness

APPLICABLE TO:



GMP Compliance Activities

CAPA Plans execution, post & pre inspection





Assessment



CASE STUDY

FRONT-END | BACK-END STRATEGY FOR GxP PROJECTS

(Below example belongs to a typical CSV Remediation Project however the concept is applicable, with different milestones, to all GXP Projects of Compliance, Qualification, IT and QMS)



Testing and Collecting

(On-Site) And

Document Compiling

(Off- Site)

Documentation

Delivery

PQE PQE ON-SITE OFF-SITE Activity Description

Definition of documents format, methodology of testing, project organization Can be either onsite or remotely executed (TC)

and Validation experts)

On-site support to site personnel for GAPs solving (PQE IT professionals

Collecting data to write documents and

- ON-Site task force (1 to 4 people)
- To be executed in parallel with step 2
- Local IT officer +1 h per system

Local IT officer → 0.5-1 days per system

Local System Administrator - Up to 0,5

 Local System Administrator +1 h per system • Local Key User + 1-2 h per system

days per system

Project Leader, QA &

Collaborators + 2-3 h (overall)

Large group of people digesting the collected data and writing the documents Site reference people will review and approved the documentation.

Technical gaps closure Is mostly performed by PQE but site

IT and admin personle provide accounts and permissions:

• Project Leader, QA and Department Managers + 1-5 days (overall)

Effort of the review can be greatly reduce organizing a 1-2 days workshop driven by PQE

2 teams working in parallel:
• ON-Site task force (1 to 4 people)

- Support testing activities: Local IT officer > 0,5 h per system • Local System Administrator • 0,5 h per system
- Large group of people supporting evidence gathering, digesting and documents compiling

Documentation Delivery to the sites including review and approval of results Validation officer (assistant for PQEtesters) → 1-2 days per system • Local Key User • 1-3 h per system (real analysis)

Reference people will review the testing results and approve the Validation Report hence releasing the systems +1-5 days Effort of the review can be greatly reduce organizing a 1-2 days workshop driven by PQE

pqegroup.com info-service@paearoup.com

FOLLOW US ON: in f 0 🕩 🔰 🗞